

Monoids and Combinatorics I

Paul Baginski

University of California, Berkeley

The Art of Factorization in Multiplicative Structures

Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas

21 May 2007

Numerical monoids

Take any $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the *numerical monoid*

$$\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle := \{a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

Numerical monoids

Take any $n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the *numerical monoid*

$$\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle := \{a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

Example

$$\langle 4, 6 \rangle = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 14, 18, \dots\}$$

Example

$$\langle 3, 7, 10 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$$

Minimal Presentation

$\langle 4, 6 \rangle = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 14, 18, \dots\}$ never has an odd element because $\gcd(4, 6) = 2$. Naturally:
 $\langle 4, 6 \rangle \cong \langle 2, 3 \rangle = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$.

Minimal Presentation

$\langle 4, 6 \rangle = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 14, 18, \dots\}$ never has an odd element because $\gcd(4, 6) = 2$. Naturally:

$$\langle 4, 6 \rangle \cong \langle 2, 3 \rangle = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}.$$

$\langle 3, 7, 10 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$ does not need 10 as a generator.

Minimal Presentation

$\langle 4, 6 \rangle = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 14, 18, \dots\}$ never has an odd element because $\gcd(4, 6) = 2$. Naturally:

$$\langle 4, 6 \rangle \cong \langle 2, 3 \rangle = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}.$$

$\langle 3, 7, 10 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$ does not need 10 as a generator.

Definition

A numerical monoid $\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle$ is *minimally presented* if $\gcd(n_1, \dots, n_t) = 1$ and n_1, \dots, n_t is a minimal set of generators.

Frobenius Number

Definition

The *Frobenius number* of a minimally presented numerical monoid M is the maximal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \notin M$. We write $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Frobenius Number

Definition

The *Frobenius number* of a minimally presented numerical monoid M is the maximal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \notin M$. We write $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

$$\mathcal{F}(n_1, n_2) = n_1 n_2 - n_1 - n_2.$$

Frobenius Number

Definition

The *Frobenius number* of a minimally presented numerical monoid M is the maximal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \notin M$. We write $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

$$\mathcal{F}(n_1, n_2) = n_1 n_2 - n_1 - n_2.$$

Formula exists for $\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$, but is very complicated. (Can also be calculated implicitly using cohomology [Sletsjøe 2000])

Frobenius Number

Definition

The *Frobenius number* of a minimally presented numerical monoid M is the maximal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \notin M$. We write $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

$$\mathcal{F}(n_1, n_2) = n_1 n_2 - n_1 - n_2.$$

Formula exists for $\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$, but is very complicated. (Can also be calculated implicitly using cohomology [Sletsjøe 2000])

Finding the Frobenius number for an arbitrary numerical monoid (varying t) is NP-hard. [Ramírez-Alfonsín]

Factoring

Consider $\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, \dots\}$. Factorizations of 56?

Factoring

Consider $\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, \dots\}$. Factorizations of 56?

$$\begin{aligned}56 &= 0 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 7 \cdot 8 \\ &= 0 \cdot 3 + 8 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8 \\ &= 8 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 4 \cdot 8 \\ &= 16 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 8 \\ &= 7 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8 \\ &= 14 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8 \\ &= 3 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 7 + 5 \cdot 8 \\ &= 6 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 8 \\ &= 9 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 8 \\ &= 11 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 8 \\ &= 4 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 8\end{aligned}$$

Factoring

Consider $\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, \dots\}$. Factorizations of 56?

$56 = 0 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 7 \cdot 8$	$L = 7$
$= 0 \cdot 3 + 8 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8$	$L = 8$
$= 8 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 4 \cdot 8$	$L = 12$
$= 16 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 8$	$L = 17$
$= 7 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8$	$L = 12$
$= 14 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8$	$L = 16$
$= 3 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 7 + 5 \cdot 8$	$L = 9$
$= 6 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 8$	$L = 11$
$= 9 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 8$	$L = 13$
$= 11 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 8$	$L = 14$
$= 4 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 8$	$L = 10$

Factoring

Length set: $\mathcal{L}(56) = \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$

Elasticity: $\rho(56) = \frac{\max \mathcal{L}(56)}{\min \mathcal{L}(56)} = \frac{17}{7}$

Delta Set: $\Delta(56) = \{1, 2\}$

Elasticity

The elasticity of a monoid M is defined to be

$$\rho(M) := \sup_{x \in M} \rho(x) = \sup_{x \in M} \frac{\max \mathcal{L}(x)}{\min \mathcal{L}(x)}$$

What is $\rho(\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle)$?

Elasticity

The elasticity of a monoid M is defined to be

$$\rho(M) := \sup_{x \in M} \rho(x) = \sup_{x \in M} \frac{\max \mathcal{L}(x)}{\min \mathcal{L}(x)}$$

What is $\rho(\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle)$?

$$\rho(\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle) = \frac{n_t}{n_1}$$

Elasticity

For any factorization:

$$x = a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \leq (a_1 + \dots + a_t) n_t$$

$$\text{so } \min \mathcal{L}(x) \geq \frac{x}{n_t}.$$

Similarly, $x = a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \geq (a_1 + \dots + a_t) n_1$, so

$$\max \mathcal{L}(x) \leq \frac{x}{n_1}.$$

Therefore $\rho(x) \leq \frac{\frac{x}{n_1}}{\frac{x}{n_t}} = \frac{n_t}{n_1}$ for all $x \in M$.

Elasticity

For any factorization:

$$x = a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \leq (a_1 + \dots + a_t) n_t$$

$$\text{so } \min \mathcal{L}(x) \geq \frac{x}{n_t}.$$

Similarly, $x = a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_t n_t \geq (a_1 + \dots + a_t) n_1$, so

$$\max \mathcal{L}(x) \leq \frac{x}{n_1}.$$

Therefore $\rho(x) \leq \frac{\frac{x}{n_1}}{\frac{x}{n_t}} = \frac{n_t}{n_1}$ for all $x \in M$.

But $n_1 n_t$ can be factored as n_t copies of n_1 and as n_1 copies of n_t ,

$$\text{so } \rho(n_1 n_t) = \frac{n_t}{n_1}.$$

$$\rho(\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle) = \frac{n_t}{n_1}.$$

Delta Sets

If $\mathcal{L}(x) = \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ is listed in increasing order, then

$$\Delta(x) = \{l_{i+1} - l_i \mid 1 \leq i < r\}$$

$$\Delta(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} \Delta(x)$$

Delta Sets

If $\mathcal{L}(x) = \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$ is listed in increasing order, then

$$\Delta(x) = \{l_{i+1} - l_i \mid 1 \leq i < r\}$$

$$\Delta(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} \Delta(x)$$

Recall: in $\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle$, we had $\Delta(56) = \{1, 2\}$. What is $\Delta(\langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle)$?

Delta Sets

Theorem (Bowles, Chapman, Kaplan, Reiser 2006)

If $M = \langle n_1, n_1 + k, \dots, n_1 + rk \rangle$, then $\Delta(M) = \{k\}$.

Delta Sets

Theorem (Bowles, Chapman, Kaplan, Reiser 2006)

If $M = \langle n_1, n_1 + k, \dots, n_1 + rk \rangle$, then $\Delta(M) = \{k\}$.

Corollary

$$\Delta(\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle) = \{n_2 - n_1\}$$

3-generated Numerical Monoids

Fact (Geroldinger 1991)

For any monoid M , $\min \Delta(M) = \gcd(\Delta(M))$.

3-generated Numerical Monoids

Fact (Geroldinger 1991)

For any monoid M , $\min \Delta(M) = \gcd(\Delta(M))$.

Example

For all n , we have

$$\Delta(\langle n, n+1, n^2 - n - 1 \rangle) = \{1, 2, \dots, n-2, 2n-5\}$$

Delta Set Questions

1. Determine $\Delta(\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle)$.

Delta Set Questions

1. Determine $\Delta(\langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle)$.
2. Is there a numerical monoid M such that $|\Delta(M)| \geq 2$ and $1 \in \Delta(M)$ but $2 \notin \Delta(M)$?

Periodicity

Observations indicate that as x varies through the numerical monoid to ∞ , the function $\Delta(x)$ is eventually periodic. Supported by Hassler's work on C -monoids.

Periodicity

Observations indicate that as x varies through the numerical monoid to ∞ , the function $\Delta(x)$ is eventually periodic. Supported by Hassler's work on C -monoids.

3. Find an explicit N after which point $\Delta(x)$ is periodic.

Periodicity

Observations indicate that as x varies through the numerical monoid to ∞ , the function $\Delta(x)$ is eventually periodic. Supported by Hassler's work on C -monoids.

3. Find an explicit N after which point $\Delta(x)$ is periodic.
4. The period always appeared to be a multiple of n_1 . Is this always true? What's the maximum multiple of n_1 that is possible?

Definition

Definition

If M is a (reduced) atomic monoid, then $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is the set of all atoms of M . The *monoid of factorizations* is $Z(M) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(M))$, the free abelian monoid on $\mathcal{A}(M)$.

Definition

Definition

If M is a (reduced) atomic monoid, then $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is the set of all atoms of M . The *monoid of factorizations* is $Z(M) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(M))$, the free abelian monoid on $\mathcal{A}(M)$. It is equipped with a homomorphism $\pi : Z(M) \rightarrow M$ given by:

$$\pi(z) = \prod_{u \in \mathcal{A}(M)} u^{v_u(z)}$$

For each $a \in M$, $Z(a) := \pi^{-1}(a) \subset Z(M)$ is the set of all factorizations of a .

Distance Between Factorizations

$Z(M)$ has a natural distance function $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ given by:

$$d(y, z) = \max\{|x^{-1}y|, |x^{-1}z|\},$$

where $x = \gcd(y, z)$ and $|\cdot|$ is the length function.

Distance Between Factorizations

$Z(M)$ has a natural distance function $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ given by:

$$d(y, z) = \max\{|x^{-1}y|, |x^{-1}z|\},$$

where $x = \gcd(y, z)$ and $|\cdot|$ is the length function.

Show that

$$d(y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{u \in \mathcal{A}(M)} |v_u(y) - v_u(z)| + \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{A}(M)} v_u(y) - v_u(z) \right| \right]$$

Distance Properties

We have the following properties:

- 1 $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a metric.
- 2 $d(y, z) = 0$ iff $y = z$.

Distance Properties

We have the following properties:

- 1 $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a metric.
- 2 $d(y, z) = 0$ iff $y = z$.
- 3 $d(xy, xz) = d(y, z)$.

Distance Properties

We have the following properties:

- 1 $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a metric.
- 2 $d(y, z) = 0$ iff $y = z$.
- 3 $d(xy, xz) = d(y, z)$.
- 4 $d(y^k, z^k) = kd(y, z)$.

Distance Properties

We have the following properties:

- 1 $d : Z(M) \times Z(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a metric.
- 2 $d(y, z) = 0$ iff $y = z$.
- 3 $d(xy, xz) = d(y, z)$.
- 4 $d(y^k, z^k) = kd(y, z)$.
- 5 $d(yy', zz') \leq d(y, z) + d(y', z')$

Catenary Degree

Definition

The *catenary degree* $c(a)$ of an element $a \in M$ is the least integer c such that between any $z, z' \in Z(a)$ there exists $z_0 = z, z_1, \dots, z_k = z'$ such that $d(z_i, z_{i+1}) \leq c$ for all i .

Exercise

Question

If M is not factorial, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an $a \in M$ such that $|Z(a)| \geq k + 1$ and there are $z, z' \in Z(a)$ such that $d(z, z') \geq 2k$.

Monoid of Trades

Definition

The *monoid of trades* $T(M)$ is:

$$T(M) := \{(1, 1)\} \cup \bigcup_{a \in M} Z(a) \times Z(a)$$

This is a submonoid of $Z(M) \times Z(M)$ and so $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricts naturally to $T(M)$.

Monoid of Trades

Definition

The *monoid of trades* $T(M)$ is:

$$T(M) := \{(1, 1)\} \cup \bigcup_{a \in M} Z(a) \times Z(a)$$

This is a submonoid of $Z(M) \times Z(M)$ and so $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricts naturally to $T(M)$. Again, we wish to recover the monoid elements, so we equip $T(M)$ with a homomorphism $\pi : T(M) \rightarrow M$, defined as $\pi(z, y) = \pi(z)$.

Trading Numerical Monoids

For a finitely-generated monoid M (for example, if M is a numerical monoid $\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle$), the monoid of trades $T(M)$ is also finitely generated. Particularly $\mathcal{A}(T(M))$ is finite.

Trading Numerical Monoids

For a finitely-generated monoid M (for example, if M is a numerical monoid $\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle$), the monoid of trades $T(M)$ is also finitely generated. Particularly $\mathcal{A}(T(M))$ is finite.

Theorem (Bowles, Chapman, Kaplan, Reiser 2006)

If M is a finitely-generated monoid, then

$$\textcircled{1} \min \Delta(M) = \gcd\{d(z) \mid z \in \mathcal{A}(T(M))\},$$

Trading Numerical Monoids

For a finitely-generated monoid M (for example, if M is a numerical monoid $\langle n_1, \dots, n_t \rangle$), the monoid of trades $T(M)$ is also finitely generated. Particularly $\mathcal{A}(T(M))$ is finite.

Theorem (Bowles, Chapman, Kaplan, Reiser 2006)

If M is a finitely-generated monoid, then

- 1 $\min \Delta(M) = \gcd\{d(z) \mid z \in \mathcal{A}(T(M))\},$
- 2 $\max \Delta(M) = \max\{\max \Delta(\pi(z)) \mid z \in \mathcal{A}(T(M))\}$

Other Undergraduate Projects

1. [Holden, Moore 2002] Numerical monoids are not fully elastic.

Other Undergraduate Projects

- [Holden, Moore 2002] Numerical monoids are not fully elastic.
- [Hine, Paixão 2006] Studied the functions:

$$\mathcal{V}(n) := \bigcup \{ \mathcal{L}(x) \mid n \in \mathcal{L}(x) \}$$

$$\Phi(n) := |\mathcal{V}(n)|$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}(n)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}(M) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_{\mathcal{V}}(n)$$

and found that for monoids M where $\Phi(n)$ is finite for all n :

$$\frac{\rho(M)^2 - 1}{\rho(M) \max \Delta_{\mathcal{V}}(M)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n} \leq \frac{\rho(M)^2 - 1}{\rho(M) \min \Delta_{\mathcal{V}}(M)}$$